WEP Warwick Evans Publishing

Academic Journal of Management Science and Engineering

ISSN: 3080-9827 (Print), ISSN: 3080-9835 (Online) | Volume 1, Number 3, Year 2026
DOI: https://doi.org/10.62051/ajmse.v1n3.08
Journal homepage: https://ajomse.com



Thermal Management Challenges and the Role of Thermal Interface Materials in Advanced Packaging

Zhandi Yang

School of Materials Science and Engineering, Nanyang Technological University, Singapore, Singapore

ABSTRACT

As semiconductor devices scale and advanced packaging architectures such as 2.5D interposer-based integration, 3D chip stacking and heterogeneous chiplet assembly are adopted, local power densities have surpassed 600 W/cm2, which is beyond the capability of standard thermal management techniques. Thermal interface materials (TIMs) are strategically critical to the thermal path of packaged devices bridging the high resistance solid-solid contact surfaces between the die, heat spreader and heat sink. This review covers the physical mechanisms of the interfacial thermal resistance in advanced packaging, the classification and performance of the major classes of TIMs (carbon-based, metal-based and polymer composite systems), and the crucial reliability challenges (pump-out, thermal cycling degradation and 3D stacking heat path interruption) which prevent the widespread use of TIMs today. The review also examines the stimulus-responsive smart TIMs as the platform to meet the dynamic thermal management requirements of next-generation heterogeneous integration platforms.

KEYWORDS

Thermal Interface Materials; Advanced Packaging; Thermal Management; Interfacial Thermal Resistance; 3D Integration; Chiplet; Carbon-based TIM; Liquid Metal; Boron Nitride; Smart TIM.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Research Background

The exponential growth in transistor density enabled by state-of-the-art packaging technologies such as 2.5D integration with silicon interposers, face-to-face 3D die stacking and heterogeneous chiplet assembly has introduced thermal management challenges of qualitatively different scale than in conventional single-die packaging. Traditional flip-chip packages dissipate power densities in the range of 50-100 W/cm2. Modern AI accelerators and high-bandwidth memory (HBM) stacks routinely generate localized hotspot densities in excess of 600 W/cm2, with predictions that these will increase further with increasing integration density. The basic limiting factor at the system level is the thermal path from the transistor junction to the heat exchanger. Each solid-solid interface adds an interfacial thermal resistance (ITR) that degrades heat flow, creating temperature rises that accelerate electromigration, dielectric breakdown and performance degradation. The engineering solution provided by thermal interface materials is to reduce ITR at these critical junctions by conformally filling microscale surface asperities that would otherwise trap insulating air gaps at contact surfaces.

The development from two-layer TIM architectures (TIM1 between the die and heat spreader, and TIM2 between the heat spreader and heat sink) to integrated TIM1.5 designs to reduce the thermal

Content from this work may be used under the terms of CC BY-NC 4.0 licence (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/).
Published by Warwick Evans Publishing.

path length in stacked die assemblies is a sign of the maturity of TIM materials science and the increasing thermal severity of advanced packaging environments. However, no single material system can simultaneously satisfy all the requirements of high bulk thermal conductivity, ultralow contact resistance, mechanical compliance, long-term reliability under thermal cycling, and compatibility with high-volume manufacturing processes. The main driver for continued innovation in TIM design for advanced packaging applications is this materials gap.

1.2. Research Questions

This review seeks to answer three research questions. RQ1: What are the controlling physical principles of interfacial thermal resistance in advanced packaging settings and how do TIM bulk conductivity, contact resistance and bond line thickness interact to determine overall thermal path performance? RQ2: What are the thermal performance features, integration benefits and reliability drawbacks of carbon-based, metal-based and polymer composite TIM systems in advanced packaging scenarios? RQ3: What are the major reliability failure mechanisms of modern TIM systems in advanced packaging and what are the most promising new smart TIM solutions for improving both thermal performance and long-term reliability?

2. THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

2.1. Fourier Heat Conduction and Interfacial Thermal Resistance

Fourier's law of heat conduction governs the macroscopic thermal behaviour of TIM systems. The law says that the bulk thermal resistance of a planar TIM layer of bulk thermal conductivity, k, and bond line thickness (BLT), t, equals Rbulk = t/k. This demonstrates that the performance of a TIM is dependent on the inherent conductivity of the material as well as the thickness at which the material is applied. However, in real electronic packages, the total thermal resistance is substantially higher than the bulk resistance due to the interfacial thermal resistance (Kapitza resistance) at the contact surfaces of TIM-die and TIM-spreader. A review of interfacial thermal resistance (ITR) shows that the fundamental origin of ITR is the acoustic phonon mismatch at material interfaces, which can be quantitatively described by acoustic mismatch model (AMM) and diffuse mismatch model (DMM), and interfacial thermal resistance at solid-solid interfaces is a big bottleneck for heat removal in electronic devices [3].

The total thermal resistance is $R_{total} = R_{c_1} + R_{bulk} + R_{c_2}$. For highly conductive metallic TIMs like as indium foil, bulk resistance is no longer a major concern at the typical operational bond line thicknesses of 50–100 μm, and engineering efforts are directed toward enhancing conformality and wettability to avoid void formation at contact surfaces. For polymer composite TIMs, the total resistance R_{total} is composed of bulk and contact resistances. This provides dual optimisation targets to improve filler conductivity and enhance surface compliance, guiding the polymer TIM design.

2.2. Thermal Path Architecture in Advanced Packaging

The thermal channel crosses a variety of layers and interfaces in a modern advanced package. Together, the layers and interfaces define the junction-to-ambient thermal resistance governing the device operating temperature. In a 2.5D integration design, the heat generated at the active die has to conduct via the die substrate, over TIM1 to the integrated heat spreader (IHS), over TIM2 to the heat sink base plate and then through the heat sink to the ambient. The vertical stacking of heat-generating layers separated by thin dielectric bonding materials further complicates the thermal path in 3D stacked die configurations, creating thermal bottlenecks at each inter-die interface, severely limiting the ability of the heat sink to access the interior die layers of the stack [1]. Thermal management

challenges in 3D heterogeneously integrated microelectronics have been reviewed and show that the power-to-area ratio of 3D stacked architectures results in thermal resistance escalation that cannot be solved by conventional heat sink optimisation alone but requires materials-level innovation at every interface in the thermal path [4].

There is an emerging concept for TIM 1.5 which removes all the IHS layer and utilises a single high performance TIM between the bare die and the heat sink. This is particularly pertinent to lidless chiplet designs where direct die access allows for the use of metallic or carbon based TIMs with thermal conductivities far beyond what can be achieved in enclosed lidded packages. The NVIDIA B200 GPU has employed a TIM1.5 architecture based on phase-change metal alloy TIMs, showing the commercial viability of this design paradigm for high-performance computing applications [2].

2.3. TIM Classification and Performance Metrics

Advanced packaging thermal interface materials (TIMs) can be classified into three major classes: carbon-based TIMs, metal-based TIMs and polymer composite TIMs, each covering a distinct region in the performance space spanned by the thermal conductivity, mechanical compliance, processability and reliability coordinates. Carbon based TIMs such as graphene composite pads, carbon nanotube (CNT) arrays and graphene-CNT hybrid films harness the very high intrinsic thermal conductivity of sp 2 -hybridised carbon (> 3000 W/m.K for CNTs and 4000-5000 W/m.K in-plane for graphene) to realise bulk composite conductivities far beyond those of conventional polymer systems. Metal-based TIMs include indium foil, In-Ag solder, gallium-based liquid metal alloys (InGa, InGaSn) and phase-change metal alloy (PCMA) systems exhibit thermal conductivities of 40-86 W/m·K and can reach ultralow contact resistance with conformal wetting or bonding at the interface. Polymer composite TIMs loaded with hexagonal boron nitride (h-BN), alumina (Al 2 O 3) or silver particles and based on silicone, epoxy or acrylic matrices are applicable at room temperature, reworkable and compatible with a variety of surfaces. They exhibit thermal conductivities of 3-30 W/m.K [5] depending on the amount of filler and network development.

3. TIM PERFORMANCE ACROSS MATERIAL CATEGORIES

3.1. Carbon-Based Thermal Interface Materials

The high intrinsic thermal conductivity of graphene and carbon nanotubes has generated much academic and economic interest in carbon-based TIMs, which can, in principle, provide thermal interface layers with conductivities orders of magnitude higher than standard polymer composites. In practice, intrinsic carbon allotrope conductivity in composites is limited by phonon scattering at the CNT-matrix and graphene-matrix interfaces, by graphene anisotropy (in-plane 4000-5000 W/mK vs. through-plane 6 W/mK) and by the difficulty to obtain vertical CNT alignment for better through-plane heat transport. Graphene-CNT composite films studied as advanced TIMs show that the vertical layered graphene/CNT pad (VGP) structures employ synergistic geometries of graphene sheets and CNT pillars to achieve a through-plane thermal conductivity of ~49.2 W/m·K, which is 289-times higher than the pure epoxy matrix. The three-dimensional carbon network provides interconnected phonon transport pathways to overcome the limitations of anisotropy in pure graphene fillers [6]. Carbon-based TIMs for high power device packaging confirm that the key engineering challenge is control of interfacial phonon scattering between the carbon fillers and surrounding matrix and substrate materials: simply placing graphene on a substrate can reduce its effective thermal conductivity by an order of magnitude with respect to the intrinsic value, necessitating careful interface engineering through chemical functionalisation and surface treatment to recover acceptable composite performance [7].

3.2. Metal-Based Thermal Interface Materials

Metal-based TIMs are among the best performers in the TIM landscape for advanced packaging, with indium-based systems showing bulk thermal conductivities of ~86 W/m·K, an order of magnitude better than most polymer composite systems. The pure indium foil maintains a low contact resistance of 0.036 cm2·K/W at 50 pressure and remains malleable from cryogenic temperatures to roughly 155°C with stable performance across 200+ thermal cycles. Gallium based liquid metal alloys such as eutectic InGa and InGaSn (liquid at normal temperature) show near-zero void formation due to outstanding wetting of metallic and non-metallic surfaces. Phase change metal alloy (PCMA) TIMs are solid at ambient temperature, but melt at temperatures above 50-80°C. These TIMs combine the handling advantages of solid TIMs and conformal wetting properties of liquid metals during operation , thereby allowing precise dispensing in high volume manufacture [2]. Solder-based metallic TIMs present their own reliability concerns of intermetallic compound formation and growth at indium solder interfaces during thermal ageing, requiring alloy composition optimisation and diffusion barrier layers to control interfacial microstructure evolution over the device service life [8].

3.3. Polymer Composite Thermal Interface Materials

Polymer composite TIMs dominate the volume market for electronic thermal management due to their processability, reworkability, electrical insulation and compatibility with a broad variety of surface chemistries. The thermal conductivity of polymer matrix composites is restrained by the poor conductivity of conventional polymer matrices (0.1-0.3 W/m·K for silicone and epoxy) and by phonon scattering at the multiple filler-matrix contacts, which constitute the main barrier with high filler loading fractions . The most preferred filler for electrically insulating thermally conductive polymer TIMs is hexagonal boron nitride (h-BN). h-BN exhibits high in-plane thermal conductivity (∼400 W/m·K for bulk h-BN platelets, ∼751 W/m·K for monolayer BN nanosheets), wide band gap (∼6.2 eV), which results in excellent electrical insulation, and chemical stability and low toxicity. The comprehensive review of the design strategies for h-BN/polymer composites with ultrahigh thermal conductivity shows that the main challenge is the construction of three-dimensional continuous thermal conduction networks through polymer matrix, and the strategies include h-BN nanosheet vertical alignment, surface functionalisation to reduce interfacial phonon scattering, and hybrid filler systems combining h-BN with CNTs or other high-conductivity fillers to bridge the phonon transport pathways [9]. According to a review on hexagonal boron nitride nanosheets for polymer composites, the grain boundary density, grain size and lattice defect concentration are the main structural factors governing the thermal conductivity at the filler level, and BNNS grown by chemical vapour deposition (CVD) shows better composite performance than the mechanically exfoliated or liquid-phase sonicated ones at the same filler loading [10].

4. RELIABILITY CHALLENGES IN ADVANCED PACKAGING TIM APPLICATIONS

4.1. Pump-Out, Thermal Cycling, and 3D Stacking Constraints

The key obstacles to keep TIM performance in the long-term for advanced packaging applications are three reliability failure scenarios. Pump-out is the slow lateral migration of polymer based TIM materials (particularly greases and phase change materials) away from the die-spreader interface region due to the combined effects of package warpage from thermal cycling, coefficient of thermal expansion (CTE) mismatch between die and heat spreader, and viscoelastic creep of low viscosity TIM materials under cyclically varying compressive loads . The progressive growth of the bond line thickness, the formation of a void at the interface centre and the final catastrophic increase of the thermal resistance leading to overtemperature failure of devices by pump-out are discussed. An

accelerated mechanical cycling study of TIM degradation suggests that pump-out resistance is inversely correlated with TIM storage modulus and viscosity: high-modulus, high-viscosity TIM formulations resist lateral flow under cyclic mechanical oscillation but show a higher contact resistance at rest, presenting a fundamental trade-off between pump-out resistance and initial interfacial conformality that polymer TIM design must balance through viscoelastic property optimisation [11]. The second failure mode, thermal cycle degradation, is fatigue-related microstructural changes such as oxidation of the liquid metal, voiding in the solder TIMs, and polymer matrix cracking in composites. A third failure mode is specific to 3D stacked die architectures, where the vertical stacking causes near-complete blockage of the thermal path for interior die layers, resulting in extreme temperature non-uniformity that cannot be mitigated by TIM modifications alone, but rather requires architectural modifications such as through-silicon thermal vias or embedded microfluidic channels [4].

5. DISCUSSION: SMART TIMS AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

To date, the most promising strategy to simultaneously address the pump-out, reliability and 3D integration thermal access challenges described above is the design of stimulus-responsive smart TIMs, i.e. materials that dynamically modulate their thermal transport properties or mechanical configurations in response to temperature, pressure or phase state changes in the device operating environment. From the review of temperature-responsive thermal materials, it is shown that smart thermal materials have the ability to adapt their heat transfer mode or macroscopic thermal channels to the ambient stimuli, which enables dynamic management that static TIM materials cannot achieve [12]. Silicon based phase transition phase change TIMs feature ultra-low thermal resistance (0.03°Ccm 2 /W) and solid state stability at ambient temperature enabling reliable assembly [12]. In order to answer RQ1, the total TIM resistance is R total = R c1 + t k + R c2 . The Kapitza phonon mismatch is the basic constraint to reduce contact resistance. RQ2: Metal-based TIMs provide the highest thermal performance; carbon-based TIMs have theoretical conductivity advantages limited by phonon scattering at filler interfaces; and h-BN/polymer composites provide the best compromise of insulation, processability, and conductivity for high-volume applications. Answer to RQ3: The main failure modes are pump-out, thermal cycle fatigue and 3D stack heat path disruption. Smart stimuli sensitive TIMs offer the most promising adaptable framework.

6. CONCLUSION

Thermal interface materials are key enablers of advanced semiconductor packaging and their performance in terms of bulk conductivity, contact resistance, bond line thickness, compliance and reliability determines whether the thermal potential of 2.5D, 3D and chiplet architectures can be achieved in practice. Material innovations must be evaluated in the analytical framework of R_total = R_c1 + t/k + R_c2 based on Kapitza phonon mismatch theory. Carbon-based, metal-based and polymer composite TIM systems are optimised for distinct regions of the performance-processability-reliability design space. The advent of TIM1.5 designs heralds the transition from passive conductors to thermally adjustable interface components. To solve pump-out resistance, thermal cycling longevity, and 3D stack thermal access, co-design of TIM materials (including smart stimulus-responsive formulations), package architecture, and manufacturing processes treating the interface as a primary determinant of advanced package performance is required.

REFERENCES

[1] Sharma, M. K., & Ramos-Alvarado, B. (2026). Thermal management of 3-D heterogeneously integrated microelectronics: Challenges and future research directions. *Communications Engineering*, 5(1), Article 28.

[2] Wang, Y. (2025). Thermal interface materials: The critical heat-transfer frontier in advanced semiconductor packaging [Technical report]. IDTechEx. https://doi.org/10.58144/20250925-001.

[3] Chen, J., Xu, X., Zhou, J., & Li, B. (2022). Interfacial thermal resistance: Past, present, and future. *Reviews of Modern Physics*, 94(2), Article 025002.

[4] Sharma, M. K., & Ramos-Alvarado, B. (2026). Thermal management of 3-D heterogeneously integrated microelectronics: Challenges and future research directions. *Communications Engineering*, 5(1), Article 28.

[5] Wang, Y., & Chen, R. (2025). Thermal interface materials: A promising solution for passive heat dissipation in electronic packaging. *Microelectronics Journal*, 162, Article 106523.

[6] Xu, P., Avendano Bolivar, J. A., & Brenner, K. (2023). Improved electrical and thermal conductivities of graphene-carbon nanotube composite film as an advanced thermal interface material. *Energies*, 16(3), 1378.

[7] Brenner, K., Xu, P., & Avendano Bolivar, J. A. (2024). Carbon-based thermal interface materials for high-power device packaging. In *IMAPSource Proceedings*. https://doi.org/10.4071/isom-2024-TA47.

[8] Goh, C. S., Wei, J., Lee, L. C., & Gupta, M. (2021). Intermetallic compound formation and growth behavior at the indium solder thermal interface. *Materials Characterization*, 180, Article 111421.

[9] Zhao, X., Zhou, M., Zhang, Y., & Jiang, L. (2025). Review of boron nitride based polymer composites with ultrahigh thermal conductivity: Critical strategies and applications. *Composites Part A: Applied Science and Manufacturing*, 196, Article 108819.

[10] Li, J., Wang, X., Zhang, H., & Li, W. (2024). Hexagonal boron nitride nanosheets: Fabrication, thermal properties and applications in polymer composites. *Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers Part L: Journal of Materials Design and Applications*, 238(5), 901–920.

[11] Nagrani, P. P., & Marconnet, A. M. (2026). A new accelerated method to characterize degradation of thermal interface materials. *ASME Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer*, 148(2), Article 021402.

[12] Lu, Y., Chen, X., & Wang, J. (2025). Developments and opportunities in temperature-responsive thermal materials for smart thermal management. *Advanced Materials*, 37, Article 2509337.